INSTITUTIONAL PERFORMANCE RATING FOR 1998-99

Institution: Clemson University Sector: Research Institutions

Approved by the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education, May 6, 1999 For additional information see www.che400.state.sc.us or call 803.737.2260

Overall Institutional Performance

EXCEEDS STANDARDS

Institutions scoring within the same performance category are considered to be performing similarly. Performance Scale = Substantially Exceeds, 2.85-3.00; Exceeds, 2.60-2.84; Achieves, 2.00-2.59; Does Not Achieve, 1.45-1.99; Substantially Does Not Achieve, 1.00-1.44. (See Note 1 below for information on deriving the overall score.)

For additional information see www.cne400.sta	ite.sc.us or can oos.757.	.2200	information on derivit	ig trie overali score.)	
PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	Performance Goal Plus or Institutions in the Performance			Performance Indicator Scores	
Critical Success Factor followed by related			Inatitution's	Verbal & Numeric Sco	res: Exceeds
Performance Indicators. Please note that the			Institution's Performance	institutional goal or at o	
applicability of individual indicators varies by sector			Periormance	goal =3; Achieves instit	
and institution. For indicator definitions, contact the Commission.	Minus 1% of the Goal	Same Sector		Does not achieve instit	utional goal =1.
I. Mission Focus					
1A Expenditures to Achieve Mission	40.4% to 41.2%	None	40.0%	Achieves	2.0
(Instruction, Student Services, Scholarships)					
1B Curricula	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.0
1C Mission Statement	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scoi
1D Adoption of a Strategic Plan	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scoi
1E Attainment of Strategic Plan Goals	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no sco
II. Quality of Faculty					
2A Academic and Other Faculty Credentials	Avg. of subpart scores	400.00/	100.00/	Exceeds	
1 Faculty Meeting SACS Requirements 2 Teaching Faculty Exceeding SACS	99.0% to 101.0% 62% to 64%	100.0% None	100.0% 69%	Exceeds Exceeds	3
2B Performance Review for Faculty	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.0
2C Faculty Post-Tenure Review	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.0
2D Faculty Compensation	\$56,232 to \$57,368	\$63,274	\$57,746	Exceeds	3.0
2E Availability of Faculty Outside of Class	Avg. of subpart scores	φοσ,Σ	\$61,110	N/A	2.5
1 % faculty rated satisfied on availibility	Scale: 90-100%=3, 80-89	%=2, below 80%=1	94%	Exceeds	3
2 % students satisfied with advisor availibility	Scale: 90-100%=3, 80-89		81%	Achieves	2
2F Faculty Community & Service Activities	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
III. Instructional Quality					
3A Class Size & Student/Teacher Ratios	Avg. of subpart scores			Achieves	2.0
1a Lower Division Class Size	33.7 to 34.3	30-33	34.9	Does Not Achieve	1
1b Upper Division Class Size	25.7 to 26.3	25-27	27.2	Does Not Achieve	1
2 FTE Students per FTE Teaching Faculty	14.4 to 14.6	14-16	15.4	Exceeds	3
3B Credit Hours Taught by Full-time Faculty	203 to 207 26.0% to 26.6%	None 29.6%	212 26.2%	Exceeds Achieves	3.0 2.0
3C Full-time Faculty to Full-time Employees 3D Accreditation of Degree Granting Programs	91% to 93%	100%	92%	Achieves	2.0
3E Emphasis on Quality Teacher Education	Avg. of subpart scores	10070	3270	N/A	2.2
1 NCATE Accreditation	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
2a % Students Passing NTE -Prof. Knowledge	97.0% to 99.0%	None	99.4%	Exceeds	3
2b % Students Passing NTE -Specialty Area	83.2% to 84.8%	None	84.3%	Achieves	2
3a % of Graduates in Critical Shortage Areas	28% to 28%	None	30%	Exceeds	3
3b % Minority Teacher Education Graduates	6% to 6%	None	5%	Does Not Achieve	1
IV. Institutional Cooperation and Colla	boration				
4A Sharing & Use of Technology, etc.,	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scor
4B Collaboration with Private Industry	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scor
V. Administrative Efficiency		<u> </u>	T	1 1/4	0.5
5A Administrative & Academic Costs	Avg. of subpart scores	7.6%	6 70/	N/A Exceeds	3.00
1 Percentage of Administrative Costs 2 Percentage of Academic Costs	7.2% to 7.4% 67.8% to 69.2%	80.0%	6.7% 68.0%	Achieves	2.00
5B Use of Best Management Practices	99% 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.0
5C Elimination of Waste & Duplication	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scor
5D General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student	\$1,386 to \$1,414	\$2,631	\$1,345	Exceeds	3.0
VI. Entrance Requirements					
6A SAT Scores of Student Body	84.2% to 85.9%	75.0%	89.2%	Exceeds	3.0
6B Entering Freshmen High School Credentials	79.2% to 80.8%	None	86.7%	Exceeds	3.0
6C Non-Academic Achievements of Students	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scoi
6D In-State Student Enrollment	74.3% to 75.8%	70.0%	76.2%	Exceeds	3.0
VII. Graduates' Achievements					
7A Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)	69.3% to 70.7%	None	72.4%	Exceeds	
7B Employment Rate for Graduates	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no scoi
7C Employer Feedback on Graduates	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A Exceeds	no scoi
7D % Passing Professional Exams	87.9% to 89.7% 12% to 12%	None	90.6% 13%	Exceeds	3.0
7E Graduates Continuing Education in SC 7F Credit Hours Earned of Graduates	12% to 12% 104% to 106%	None 110%	104%	Exceeds	3.0
VIII. User-Friendliness of Institution	10170 10 10070		.5170	LACCOUS	<u> </u>
8A Credit Transfer to and from Institution	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds	3.0
8B Continuing Education Units	20,800 to 21,200	None	24,100	Exceeds	3.0
8C Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens	Avg. of subpart scores		2.,100	Does Not Achieve	
1 Percentage of Other Race Students	7.6% to 7.8%	26.9%	7.5%	Does Not Achieve	1.00
	96.7% to 98.7%	None	96.3%	Does Not Achieve	1.00
2 Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio	90.1/6 10 90.1/6		86.3%	N/A	N/A
2 Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio Other Race Retention	(not applicable)				
			89.6%	N/A	N/A
Other Race Retention Non-Other Race Retention IX. Research Funding	(not applicable) (not applicable)		89.6%		
Other Race Retention Non-Other Race Retention	(not applicable)	105.0% 105.0%			N/A 3.0

SUMMARY: Category of overall institution performance is EXCEEDS. (See note 2.) The number of applicable indicators varies by sector and institution. For this institution, 27 indicators are included in the overall score. The institution's overall numeric score that determines the performance category is 2.71 or 90% of 3, expressing the overall numeric score as a percent of the total possible. (See note 1.)

NOTE 1: The overall numeric score is calculated by averaging the scores for each indicator (i.e., scores in the final column, not shadec and right aligned). For indicators with multiple subparts, the subpart scores (i.e., scores in the last column, shaded and left aligned) are averaged to derive a score for the indicator. The percent shown is derived by dividing the overall numeric score by three

NOTE 2: Institutions scoring within the same category are considered to be performing similarly under SC's performance system